UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

		2008 AUG 18 AM 8: 20
TO - Part I NOUSTON		
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE GOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA RECEIVED DATE: 8/8/2008		
	W	Bev0871 JAH (LSP) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff DEPUTY
CASE TITLE: Courson v. Cochran, et al		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for Appointment of Counsel		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
ō	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
ā	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
		Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
		OTHER:
Date forwarded: <u>8/11/2008</u>		
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
Ø		nent is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
	·	
		nent is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a sorder on all parties.
	Rejected d	ocument to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant	
	to Local R	chambers of:
		$\mathcal{O}(2)$
	Dated: cc: All Par	By: